

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

766.42647A00
NAT. STAGE: 10/4/05
12/10/05
05

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

08 MAR 2005

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

To:
RONALD J. SHORE
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
SUITE 1800
1300 NORTH SEVENTH STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22209

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year) **17 DEC 2004**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

766.42647A00

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/US03/10238

International filing date (day/month/year)

04 April 2003 (04.04.2003)

Priority date (day/month/year)

Applicant

SY-KLONE COMPANY, INC.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Robert A Hopkins

Telephone No. 703-872-9306

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT 12 MAR 2005

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 766.42647A00	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US03/10238	International filing date (day/month/year) 04 April 2003 (04.04.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): B01D 50/00, 45/14 and US Cl.: 95/268,270; 55/385.3, 396,337,423,401,437,457,467,503		
Applicant SY-KLONE COMPANY, INC.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.


2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.

☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 03 November 2004 (03.11.2004)	Date of completion of this report 09 December 2004 (09.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Robert A Hopkins  Telephone No. 703-872-9306

I. Basis of the report**1. With regard to the elements of the international application:***

- ☐ the international application as originally filed.
- ☒ the description:
pages 1-10 _____ as originally filed
pages NONE _____, filed with the demand
pages NONE _____, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the claims:
pages 12-15 _____, as originally filed
pages NONE _____, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages 11,16 _____, filed with the demand
pages NONE _____, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the drawings:
pages 1-7 _____, as originally filed
pages NONE _____, filed with the demand
pages NONE _____, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages NONE _____, as originally filed
pages NONE _____, filed with the demand
pages NONE _____, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☒ the description, pages NONE
- ☒ the claims, Nos. NONE
- ☒ the drawings, sheets/fig NONE

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. STATEMENT**

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-24

YES

Claims NONE

NO

Inventive Step (IS)

Claims 1-24

YES

Claims NONE

NO

Industrial Applicability (IA)

Claims 1-24

YES

Claims NONE

NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an air filter being elongated in the direction of the axis about which the debris laden air is rotated.

Claims 15 and 16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a debris strake connected to the air filter for channeling debris away from the filter to the outermost orbits of the rotating flow.

Claims 17-21 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest channeling debris away from the filter to the outermost orbits of the rotating flow with a debris strake connected to the filter.

Claims 22-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest wherein the air filter is swept by the rotating flow to provide a self cleaning action on the filter.

Claims 1-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in the air filter industry.

----- NEW CITATIONS -----